



AGENCE FRANÇAISE
DE SÉCURITÉ SANITAIRE
DES ALIMENTS

**THREATS AND NEW TRENDS IN PREVENTING
EPIZOOTIC DISEASES IN LIVESTOCK AND
POULTRY IN THE EUROPEAN UNION**

P. VANNIER
AFSSA (French Food Safety Agency)

In recent years → important changes in the E.U.:

- Enlargement to 27 countries**
- Length of new borders**
- Increase of trade flow and increase of number of MSs**



Difficulties of management of health status of livestock

➤ Risk of introduction of an agent



A - Increase Threat for (Re) Appearance of Epizootic Diseases

Numerous factors

// **legal** and **illegal Movements** of live animals and products

Consensus to say **EU procedures** and requirements **←** third countries have been **effective** to prevent introduction animal diseases.

If not: high probability of **↗** outbreaks



But unnotified and fraudulent trade → important and largely unaddressed issue

FMD IN UK – 2001 seems to be related to the **illegal** use of **swill** in a pig farm coming from an **asian restaurant**.

Subtyping → **illegal import of food** from animal origin ← virus source



HPAI including H5N1

Legal of informal **trade** of live domestic birds → **major factor** of **introducing** a virus when appropriate measures not in place.

Ban in EU in 2005 → efficient

Illegal trade is certainly a **key route** of introduction H5N1 in countries not previously infected : Africa ... (poultry farms, no wild birds) in 2006 « herald tribune » → in Italy **police seized avian poultry products** introduced illegally.



In EU flow of imported items ↗ ⇒ **meat and animal products** originating from **infected animals imported illegally** : **greater risk in EU** than imports from countries with established and regulated meat trade with EU.

↗ **Trade-driven movements** of livestock commodities from **FMD endemic** areas in Asia. Supply demand gravitates towards Europe or countries in EU neighbouring regions (N. Africa, Middle East)

Pork and beef **exports from China and India**

Moldova = 4 000 tons, Ukraine = 8 000 tons,
Albania = 3 500 tons, Georgia = 12 600 tons,
Turkey = 2 300 tons.



First conclusion

There will be a continuing tension between **trade policies objectives** and **Animal health Policies**.

- ↗ Need for a more **risk based approach** to border Inspections.
- ↗ Need of **a shifting and sharing responsibility**
- ↗ Need for **improving risk management** at third country level



II/ Evolution of human behaviour and food consumption

Human populations are moving more and more
← **migrations** (poverty, wars, tourism) when immigrants are well integrated in EU MSs → relatives visit to family ↗ **risk of import of traditional food**

⇒ Steady flow of small quantities (\cong 5 kg on average) of **animal product** being brought in by 1% to 5% of travellers from Asia or Africa

FMD endemic areas → high number of passengers → EU from Far East, Middle East, Near East

→ **2000 tons for animal products per year**



III/ Wild Fauna

Several infectious diseases have emerged in the last few decades

- **Avian Influenza (HPAI) H5N1** ← 3 routes responsible of introduction of the virus : **legal, illegal trade**

Wild birds following **migratory or non migratory routes** → introduction into EU

Aquatic wild birds → healthy carriers even if role not totally explained.



III/ Wild Fauna

- Nipah virus

From 1998 to 1999, highly contagious respiratory and neurological disease of pigs
→ Malaysian peninsula.



viral **encephalitis** among **employees** (pig farms – abattoirs) Nipah virus isolated from pigs and human victims



Fruit bats (genus *pteropus*)



III/ Wild Fauna

- **West Nile Virus**

1999 → WNV emerged in North America → threat to human and equine health and wild bird populations.

Flavivirus is maintained in a wide species range of wild birds and birds feeding mosquitoes.

2002-2003 – WNVirus infection → 4000 horses in USA – 20% neurological disease.



III/ Wild Fauna

Several EU MSs, **wild boar** appear as the **reservoir of CSF virus** in spite of the **eradication** of infection in the **domestic pig population** :

Direct contact → transmission of the virus by illegal feeding swill from wild boar waste → infection domestic pigs



IV/ Climatic changes and the global warming

Example of Blue tongue

1998 : BT virus appeared again in Western Europe.

**Recent epizooty differs from the previous transitory appearance of BTV 9 years → Mediterranean basin
→ 8 serotypes (South of EU)**



IV/ Climatic changes and the global warming

Main vector is *Culicoïdes imicola* adapted more and more to Northern countries

- Other *Culicoïdes* (*dewulfi* and *obsoletus* complex) present in the North and global climatic changes (↗ 1,5°C) and particularly warming ⇒ adaptation and increase capacity of vectors increasing the risk of **spreading of BTV**.
- Appearance of **new vector borne diseases**.

Same applies to other diseases such as **water- borne diseases or parasites**.



V/ Evolution of the farming structure and the herds management

Last 3 decades, under social pressure, demand from consumers, economical factors (types of production = poultry, pigs, goats...), 2 models of farming opposed → certain confusion of risk factors associated with the onset and spreading of infectious diseases.

H5N1 (HPAI) → exposure in outdoors farming with contacts with wild birds (Especially in Asia : aquatic domestic and wild birds in same pools high level of infection in clinically normal domestic ducks → factor to epidemic → Thailand, Vietnam, Southern China.

Measures for preventing contamination :

- Industrial farming allows biosecurity
- Protection in Thailand, Turkey
- Failure of implementation in UK.



VI/ Farming structure and herds management

But when failure of biosecurity → **high number** of animals at the same place + **high density** of farms → **quick spreading** of highly contagious viruses and **multiplication of outbreaks**



H7N7 in NL in 2003



Second conclusion

Previous examples show that the EU is faced to **new challenges** and **new threats**.

To prevent **introduction** of a pathogen or **emergence** of known or new hazards →
implementation of a **set of measures** and
tools adapted to new challenges →

Necessary



B - Conditions for prevention of the onset of an Epizooty

I/ Preventive measures depending on epidemiological situation

a) Movements of the animals and trade

Several recommendations in Evaluation Report of CAHP :

- . To ↘ Movements for live animals**
- . To ↗ and reinforce the Border Inspection Posts Controls**

with approach based on three pillars = risks analysis based border controls, ↗ cooperation between customs authorities and veterinary services, to harmonise operations of BIPs in the EU.



b) Biosecurity

Key issue for the future – type of farming :

- ⇒ to ↗ implementation of **bio-security measures** in all farms
- ⇒ to **sensitise farmers** about importance
- ⇒ to **prevent** any **introduction** of agents through passive vectors (boots, straw, wheels, trucks, clothes...)
- ⇒ In outdoors herds, to prevent **contact with wild birds** and wild fauna = nets, double fence, winter «gardens»...
- ⇒ To impose a minimum **distance between farms**



c) Vaccines

New generation of vaccines appeared → **Differentiate Vaccinated from Infected Animals (DIVA)** allowing control of infection with vaccination by companion diagnosis tests = FMD, CSF, AD, AI, IBR.

Major progress, but use cannot replace sanitary prophylactic measures.

Past experience → limits and advantages → powerful tool in **a set of measures** to control and eradicate a contagious disease, to be adapted :

- . to the **epidemiological situation**
- . to the **contagiousness** of the disease
- . to presence or absence of **conditions** → **capacity** to control the spread of the disease



To control a disease =
key point = detect clinically **inapparent infected**
animals (healthy carriers)



where vaccination = critical stage of **alert** induced
by the appearance of **clinical signs** → **removed or**
suppressed.

- Vaccines → **clinical protection AND** to prevent
viral excretion (pv and pi)
- Diagnosis kits → Sensitivity as high as possible to
↘ **false negative** results



Most experience = **Aujeszky's disease (AD)**

- **Mass vaccination** of pigs and detection of infection
- To implement **sanitary measures** gradually in vaccinated infected herds by **culling infected sows** at # stages detected by ELISA kits

So, vaccination has a combined effect : **Mass vaccination during several years**

- ⇒ ↓ level of viral excretion by infected pigs
 - ⇒ preventing airborne transmission **between farms**
- ⇒ **limits economical losses due to mortality**



After several years → vaccination allows ↘ of prevalence, as infected animals → to slaughter houses according to the herd management and regular culling of the oldest sows.

Cost of vaccination has to be taken into account when calculating total cost of a prophylactic programme.

In country or region, where prevalence is high → mass vaccination only tool, but identification of animals, screening and culling of infected breeding animals are essential → to eradication



Other examples such as **Classical Swine Fever** show that use of vaccine → do not change in depth the control of the situation.

1997 → epizooty of **CSF** to → NL, Be, Sp. Many people say that **vaccines** could prevent **massive destruction** of pigs.

Indeed, it is not true as more than 22 herds were already infected when primary outbreak detected is Venhorst on 4 february 1997. Situation **dramatic** as farmers sold piglets before restriction measures in the infected area ⇒ Rapid **spread of the virus** in South of the country.



CSF

In such situation: use of a marker vaccine would not have altered the nature of the problem as it does not obviate the need for action on potentially infected animals :

- To **identify** them
- To **bleed** them
- To strictly **control the movements** of pigs.

At the beginning of an epizooty, **success of control measures** depends on **quickness** of implementation before extensive, undetected spread. **Vaccination is not a substitute for basic measures to control contagious diseases.**

In **high density regions**, at start of an epizooty, **ring or zonal vaccination** can also be envisaged → to prevent the virus from replicating too rapidly **with** control measures.



FMD

Last approach pertinent for highly contagious diseases as **airborne transmission** is one of **main epidemiological factors** of spreading of the virus.

First outbreaks, in high density of susceptible herds and epidemiological conditions → airborne spread (pigs # cattle # sheep) ring vaccination (use of Models) ⇒ to limit speed and extent of the infection.

Greater risk of vaccinated herds for undetected spread than unvaccinated herds.



Third conclusion

Successful programme can be based on **vaccination** but should also include **sanitary measures** :

When **vaccination** is part of a control programme = should be implemented only for **a certain period of time**,

When **prevalence** of the infection **decreased** significantly,

When epidemiological **unit** **protected** against **new introduction**:

so, vaccination should be **replaced** by **sanitary measures** also **less costly**.



II/ **Early warning system**

Detection and prophylactic measures

Detection of **first** outbreak in the **first few hours** after infection is **KEY** element determining control (or not) of the spreading of the infection. A set of tools of measures is needed to fulfill such a requirement which needs considerable means/organisation/structure of Vet. Services in a country.



EWS : Needs to succeed

- Active and passive **surveillance** in the wild fauna
- Appropriate **information** and **sensitisation** of farmers and veterinarians
- Existence of an efficient **network** of field **veterinarians** → early warning of competent authorities
- System with rapid **compensation** of the losses of the farmers
- Early **notification** of an outbreak
- Existence of **efficient** and **competent** network of laboratories+ quick **transportation** of samples (field → labs)
- **Structured** veterinarian official services and **organized state** → power of decision, tools of action to implement effective control of measures = stamping out, control of movements, assessment of efficiency of measures.



EWS

ALL PREVIOUS CONDITIONS are **essential** to allow an effective control of the spreading of the infection.

Onset of epizooty or quick **disappearance** of the infection will depend on the **precocity** of the detection of the first outbreak (Index case), on the **quickness** and **strength** of measures taken by the competent authority.



General conclusion

Thanks to the **knowledge** acquired from the past and the onset of modern vaccinal and diagnosis **tools**, a set of measures can be implemented to prevent or to react when highly contagious agent is introduced in the EU.

For most of the agents, these **tools** are **adapted** and **efficient**. In spite of that, in recent past, **dramatic epizooties** occurred → are **failure** of this apparatus. **Experience** drawn from **failure** is also essential to analyse the **causes** and to **improve** the **system** to prevent a reoccurrence.

