

INTRODUCTION - EFFECTS OF AIRBORNE POLLUTANTS AND FACTORS AFFECTING CONCENTRATIONS IN LIVESTOCK BUILDINGS

T. M. Banhazi¹, J. Seedorf², D. L. Rutley¹, C. Cargill¹ and J. Hartung²

¹Livestock System Alliance, Roseworthy Campus, University of Adelaide, South Australia, 5371

²Institut für Tierhygiene, Tierschutz und Nutztiereologie der Tierärztlichen Hochschule Hannover, Bünteweg, Hannover

Introduction

The main airborne pollutants found in piggery buildings are ammonia, carbon dioxide, dust particles, viable and non-viable microorganisms, and their components. Airborne particles in piggery buildings consist of animal skin, hair, dried urine, faeces, bedding material, microorganisms, grain and other particles. Airborne particles usually act as a vector for pathogenic bacteria, viruses, endotoxins, odorous material, gases (including ammonia) and liquid substances. Viable bacteria and viruses carried by dust particles may have a greater ability to survive and cause infection in animals and transfer infection into neighbouring livestock buildings. Airborne microorganisms attached to airborne particles are often described as 'viable' airborne particles (Hartung and Seedorf 1999). The finer fraction of the biologically active airborne material referred to as 'bioaerosol' and this fraction could remain in suspension in the air for long periods (Hartung and Seedorf 1999). Endotoxins are the cell-wall components of gram-negative bacteria and these compounds are released after the death of the bacteria.

One of the important gaseous pollutants present in livestock buildings is ammonia (Hobbs *et al.* 1999). Most ammonia originates from urine and faecal material produced by the animals and as a result of chemical/biological breakdown of the waste material (Groot Koerkamp *et al.* 1998). Pigs consume a substantial amount of protein and other nitrogen containing material as part of their diet. However, a large percentage of the nitrogen consumed is excreted and ammonia is produced as a result of bacterial breakdown of the dietary nitrogen (Groot Koerkamp *et al.* 1998). Carbon dioxide (CO₂) in piggery buildings is mainly produced by the animals as a result of normal respiration and a smaller amount is produced as a by-product of bacterial breakdown of waste material (Ni *et al.* 1999b).

Previous publications revealed that significant amounts of airborne pollutants can be found in the airspace of piggery buildings (Seedorf *et al.* 1998; Takai *et al.* 1998; Chang *et al.* 2001) (Table 1). Piggery managers, scientists and building engineers are concerned with sub-optimal air quality, as high airborne pollutant concentrations could potentially affect the environment through emission and human and/or animal health via biological effects.

Table 1. Concentrations of different pollutants measured in previous studies.

Pollutant	Range of concentration	Reference
Ammonia	5-18 ppm	(Groot Koerkamp <i>et al.</i> 1998)
Inhalable particles	0.63-5.05 mg/m ³	(Takai <i>et al.</i> 1998)
Respirable particles	0.09-0.46 mg/m ³	(Takai <i>et al.</i> 1998)
Respirable endotoxins	74-189 EU/m ³	(Seedorf <i>et al.</i> 1998)
Total airborne bacteria	Approx. 10 ⁵ cfu/m ³	(Seedorf <i>et al.</i> 1998)

Emission issues

Generally, it is accepted that airborne pollutant emissions have to be reduced from livestock buildings in order to minimise potential damage to the environment (Seedorf *et al.* 1998; Takai *et al.* 1998) and the transmission of pathogenic microorganism between buildings and farms (Hartung *et al.* 1997). It is also well documented that particles, endotoxins and airborne microorganisms emitted from livestock buildings could get into waterways via run-offs and leakage after rain (Barber 2002). In many developed countries, nutrient enrichment and bacterial contamination of waterways is a major concern for the livestock industries, eg. UK (Hooda *et al.* 2000). Particles in livestock ventilation air have been implicated in transporting odour (Hartung *et al.* 1986; Hoff *et al.* 1997; Bottcher 2001). Different odourants are absorbed on particle surface and then desorbed in large local concentrations, potentially creating secondary emission sources around livestock buildings (Takai *et al.* 2002). Additional odorous components might also be produced by bacterial activity within the particles as a result of the different microorganisms breaking down the organic content of the dust particles (Martin *et al.* 1996).

In general, livestock production is heavily implicated in significantly contributing to atmospheric ammonia emissions (Arogo *et al.* 2003). In pig production the major ammonia emission sources are the buildings, effluent lagoons and manure application areas (Aneja *et al.* 2000; Nicholson *et al.* 2002). Some relationship between ammonia and odour emission is also assumed but not proven consistently (Ognink and Groot Koerkamp 2001). Further research on the relationship between ammonia and odour emission is necessary in order to understand the importance of emitted ammonia in odour formation and also to potentially use ammonia measurements as an indication of odour levels. Ammonia emitted from livestock buildings is also implicated in creating opportunities for the formation of very small (PM 2.5) particles, which in turn will have public health consequences (Arogo *et al.* 2003). Excess ammonia deposited in sensitive ecosystems and leached into waterways can cause harmful algal blooms, decrease

water quality, change soil pH and directly affect the constitution of native flora (Arogo *et al.* 2003).

Carbon dioxide (together with methane and nitrous oxide) emitted from livestock buildings is considered to be part of 'Greenhouse gas' emission load of the piggery operation (Sommer and Moller 2000). Greenhouse gas emissions from agriculture operations are increasingly scrutinised by environmental authorities.

Human and animal health effects

The quality of working environment within piggery buildings is heavily influenced by the concentration of different pollutants in the air. Epidemiological studies related to the health of farm employees have revealed that workers in piggery buildings might be exposed to pollutant concentrations which could contribute to the development of occupational respiratory diseases (Crook *et al.* 1991; Dutkiewicz 1997). Pig farmers have a high prevalence of wheezing, symptoms of chronic bronchitis and decline in lung function which could be related to exposure to airborne pollutants in livestock buildings (Schwartz *et al.* 1995; Mackiewicz 1998; Laitinen *et al.* 2001).

Studies have demonstrated the effects of sub-optimal air quality on production efficiency (Donham and Leininger 1984; Donham 1991; Urbain *et al.* 1994; Donham 2000). There is evidence that airborne microorganisms, their products and/or components are capable of triggering immune responses and physiological changes in livestock, such as the activation of the immune system (Cargill *et al.* 2002). In turn, this could result in a reduction in feed intake, as well as a diversion of protein and energy away from the development of muscle tissues (Kelley *et al.* 1987; Klasing *et al.* 1987; Klasing and Barnes 1988). Other studies demonstrated the synergic effect of ammonia and other airborne pollutants (such as endotoxins and dust) on animal health and production efficiency (Gustin *et al.* 1994; Urbain *et al.* 1996; Wathes *et al.* 2002a; Demmers *et al.* 2003). Researchers in the UK also demonstrated the behaviour tendency of pigs preferring to avoid high ammonia concentrations, if given a choice (Smith *et al.* 1996; Jones *et al.* 1998; Jones *et al.* 1999; Wathes *et al.* 2002b). Studies conducted in Australia demonstrated that pigs reared in clean environment with better air quality grew faster than pigs living under "normal" commercial conditions (Banhazi and Cargill 1998; Cargill *et al.* 1998; Black *et al.* 2001).

Carbon dioxide levels regularly encountered in piggery buildings do not pose any treat to human or animal health (Banhazi and Cargill 2000). However, CO₂ is of great interest to livestock managers as CO₂ is widely used to estimate ventilation rates of livestock buildings. High CO₂ levels are an indication of reduced ventilation rates in buildings (van't Klooster and Heitleger 1994).

Factors affecting airborne pollutant concentrations

Understanding the factors affecting airborne pollutant concentrations is important, as this knowledge is the first important step in reducing and controlling the internal concentrations of these pollutants. In turn, controlling

internal concentrations will enable livestock managers to control emissions. Understanding the factors influencing the internal concentrations of carbon dioxide is also important as such knowledge will enable livestock managers to control ventilation rates of piggery buildings.

Many studies, examining the influence of environmental factors on particle concentration in piggery buildings have demonstrated a circadian dependency. Airborne particle (including viable particle) concentrations are typically higher during the day than night (Pedersen 1993; Seedorf *et al.* 1998; Pedersen *et al.* 2001). The difference in particle concentrations with time of day is related to the higher level of animal activity during daytime (Cargill *et al.* 1997; Pedersen 1993). Viable particle concentrations within piggery buildings are reportedly influenced by the stocking density and stocking rate of pigs (Cargill and Banhazi 1996). Air humidity strongly influences the condition of the air and therefore the density and the size of the suspended particle concentrations inside piggery buildings. Several studies in piggery buildings have demonstrated a relationship between respirable particle concentration and relative humidity (Butera *et al.* 1991; Ellen *et al.* 2000). Ventilation also has a complex effect on the concentration of air pollutants. The ventilation system is designed to facilitate the elimination and transportation of particles outside the building via exhausted air (Duchaine *et al.* 2000; Wang *et al.* 2000). However, the turbulence associated with increased ventilation favours the re-suspension of settled particles. The ability for ventilation systems to resuspend particles is influenced greatly by the hygienic conditions encountered within livestock units (Gustafsson 1999). The bedding is a major source of particles in livestock buildings and its characteristics would affect particle concentrations (Ellen *et al.* 2000; Barnett *et al.* 2001).

A number of studies concerning the influence of environmental and management factors on ammonia concentrations in piggery buildings have demonstrated an effect of pen hygiene. Ammonia concentrations are typically increasing with increased level of pen floor contamination (Aarnink *et al.* 1996; Aarnink *et al.* 1997; Ni *et al.* 1999a). Ammonia concentrations within piggery buildings are reportedly influenced by the characteristics and management of the effluent system as well as the pH of the slurry (Hörnig *et al.* 1999; Jensen 2002). Turbulence associated with increased ventilation favours the volatilisation of ammonia from exposed sources, such as manure pits and contaminated pen floors (Ni *et al.* 1999a). However, improved pen cleanliness will limit the opportunities for volatilisation and will therefore greatly improve the effectiveness of the ventilation system. It was reported that it is more likely to find high gas concentrations (both CO₂ and ammonia) in buildings housing younger animals as those buildings usually have reduced ventilation levels to save heating cost (Donham and Popendorf 1985). Investigations undertaken in Sweden revealed that ammonia concentrations in pig and poultry houses are influenced by location of air inlets and outlets, stocking rate of the animals, air flow rate and time intervals between manure removals (Gustafsson

1997). While the effects of air in/outlet locations will have little implications for typically naturally ventilated Australian piggery buildings, the other factors identified will likely to have consequences for ammonia levels even under the hot/dry Southern Australian climatic conditions.

Discussion

Based on the results of previous studies, it is evident that improving air quality in livestock buildings could produce significant benefits, including reduced environmental damage, improved production efficiency and better working environment for farm employees. A number of management, environmental and housing factors have been demonstrated in separate studies to interact and influence the concentrations of airborne pollutants within and emission from piggery buildings. However, these factors have not been evaluated considering all factors simultaneously. In addition, most of the studies conducted in relation to airborne pollutants in livestock buildings were mainly concerned with the concentrations and/or emissions measured (Wathes *et al.* 1998). Very few studies have attempted to model and therefore explain the variation observed in concentrations. Therefore, a comprehensive study was needed to investigate the interaction between different air quality parameters and housing/management features in order to determine the key factors affecting the internal concentrations of airborne pollutants in piggery buildings, to predict and ultimately reduce the concentrations and emissions of these airborne pollutants. A number of articles published as part of this series report on the outcomes of such an investigation (Banhazi *et al.* 2004c; Banhazi *et al.* 2004a; Banhazi *et al.* 2004b).

Conclusion

1. Improving air quality could reduce environmental damage, improve production efficiency and workers health.
2. A number of factors have been identified in previous studies to affect the concentration of airborne pollutants.
3. Few studies have attempted to model the variation observed in pollutant concentration.

Acknowledgements

This review was part of a study funded by the Australian Pork Limited. We wish to sincerely thank Dr B. W. Hall, Dr J. Black, Dr P. Glatz, and Prof. C. Wathes for their professional advice.

References

Aarnink A.J.A., Swierstra D., van den Berg A.J. and Speelman L. (1997) Effect of type of slatted floor and degree of fouling of solid floor on ammonia emission rates from fattening piggeries. *Journal of Agricultural Engineering Research* **66**, 93-102.

Aarnink A.J.A., van den Berg A.J., Keen A., Hoeksma P. and Verstegen M.W.A. (1996) Effect of Slatted Floor Area on Ammonia Emission and on the Excretory and Lying Behaviour of Growing Pigs. *Journal of Agricultural Engineering Research* **64**, 299-310.

Aneja V.P., Chauhan J.P. and Walker J.T. (2000) Characterization of atmospheric ammonia emissions from swine waste storage and treatment lagoons. *Journal of Geophysical Research* **105**, 11535-11545.

Arogo J., Westerman P.W. and Heber A.J. (2003) A review of ammonia emissions from confined swine feeding operations. *Transactions of the ASAE* **46**, 805-817.

Banhazi T. and Cargill C. (1998) An assessment of the benefits of age-segregated rearing and all-in/all-out management in respiratory disease free herds. In 'Proceedings of the 15th IPVS Congress'. Birmingham, England. (Eds Cargill, C. and McOrist, S.) p. 387. (University of Birmingham)

Banhazi T. and Cargill C. (2000) Distribution of ammonia, carbon dioxide and viable airborne bacteria in pig sheds. *Clean Air Journal* **34**, 26-28.

Banhazi T., Seedorf J., Rutley D.L. and Pitchford W.S. (2004a) Factors affecting the concentrations of airborne bacteria and endotoxins in Australian piggery buildings. In 'Proceedings of ISAH Conference'. St Malo, France. (Ed. Madec, F.). (ISAH)

Banhazi T., Seedorf J., Rutley D.L. and Pitchford W.S. (2004b) Factors affecting the concentrations of airborne particles in Australian piggery buildings. In 'Proceedings of ISAH Conference'. St Malo, France. (Ed. Madec, F.). (ISAH)

Banhazi T., Seedorf J., Rutley D.L. and Pitchford W.S. (2004c) Factors affecting the concentrations of gases in Australian piggery buildings. In 'Proceedings of ISAH Conference'. St Malo, France. (Ed. Madec, F.). (ISAH)

Barber E.M. (2002) Protection of the Environment in Animal Production: Biosystems, Ecology and Waste Treatment. In 'Animal Production in Australia'. Adelaide, South Australia. (Eds Revell, D.K. and Taplin, D.) pp. 380-386

Barnett J.L., Hemsworth P.H., Cronin G.M., Jongman E.C. and Hutson G.D. (2001) A review of the welfare issues for sows and piglets in relation to housing. *Australian Journal of Agricultural Research* **52**, 1-28.

Black J.L., Giles L.R., Wynn P.C., Knowles A.G., Kerr C.A., Jone M.R., Gallagher N.L. and Eamens G.J. (2001) A Review - Factors Limiting the Performance of Growing Pigs in Commercial Environments. In 'Manipulating Pig Production VIII'. Adelaide, Australia. (Ed. Cranwell, P.D.) pp. 9-36. (Australasian Pig Science Association, Victorian Institute of Animal Science, Werribee, Victoria, Australia)

Bottcher R.W. (2001) An Environmental Nuisance: Odor Concentrated and Transported by Dust. *Chemical Senses* **26**, 327-331.

Butera M., Smith J.H., Morrison W.D., Hacker R.R., Kains F.A. and Ogilvie J.R. (1991) Concentration of respirable dust and bioaerosols and identification of certain microbial types in a hog-growing facility. *Canadian Journal of Animal Science* **71**, 271-277.

Cargill C., Banhazi T. and Connaughton I. (1998) The influence of air quality on production increases associated with all-in/all-out management. In 'Proceedings of the 15th IPVS Congress'. Birmingham, England. (Eds Cargill, C. and McOrist, S.) p. 248. (University of Birmingham)

Cargill C., Murphy T. and Banhazi T. (2002) Hygiene and Air Quality in Intensive Housing Facilities in Australia. In 'Animal Production in Australia'. Adelaide, South Australia. (Eds Revell, D.K. and Taplin, D.) pp. 387-393

Cargill C.F. and Banhazi T. (1996) The importance of limiting population size and density for providing optimal air quality in pig sheds. In 'Proceedings 13-th International Clean Air Conference'. Adelaide, Australia pp. 375-379. (Clean Air Society of Australia and New Zealand)

Chang C.W., Chung H., Huang C.F. and Su H.J.J. (2001) Exposure assessment to airborne endotoxin, dust, ammonia, hydrogen sulfide and carbon dioxide in open style swine houses. *The Annals of Occupational Hygiene* **45**, 457-465.

Crook B., Robertson J.F., Glass S.A., Botheroyd E.M., Lacey J. and Topping M.D. (1991) Airborne dust, ammonia, microorganisms, and

- antigens in pig confinement houses and the respiratory health of exposed farm workers. *American Industrial Hygiene Association Journal* **52**, 271-279.
- Demmers T.G.M., Wathes C.M., Richards P.A., Teer N., Taylor L.L., Bland V., Goodman J., Armstrong D., Chennells D., Done S.H. and Hartung J. (2003) A Facility for Controlled Exposure of Pigs to Airborne Dusts and Gases. *Biosystems Engineering* **84**, 217-230.
- Donham K.J. (1991) Association of environmental air contaminants with disease and productivity in swine. *American Journal of Veterinary Research* **52**, 1723-30.
- Donham K.J. (2000) The Concentration of Swine Production - - Effects on swine health, productivity, human health, and the environment. *Veterinary Clinics Of North America-Food Animal Practice* **16**, 559-598.
- Donham K.J. and Leininger J.R. (1984) Animal studies of potential chronic lung disease of workers in swine confinement buildings. *American Journal of Veterinary Research* **45**, 926-31.
- Donham K.J. and Popendorf W.J. (1985) Ambient levels of selected gases inside swine confinement buildings. *American Industrial Hygiene Association Journal* **46**, 658-61.
- Duchaine C., Grimard Y. and Cormier Y. (2000) Influence of Building Maintenance, Environmental Factors, and Seasons on Airborne Contaminants of Swine Confinement Buildings. *American Industrial Hygiene Association Journal* **61**, 56-63.
- Dutkiewicz J. (1997) Bacteria and Fungi in Organic Dust as Potential Health Hazard. *Annals of Agricultural and Environmental Medicine* **4**, 11-16.
- Ellen H.H., Bottcher R.W., von Wachenfelt E. and Takai H. (2000) Dust Levels and Control Methods in Poultry Houses. *Journal of Agricultural Safety and Health* **6**, 275-282.
- Groot Koerkamp P.W.G., Metz J.H.M., Uenk G.H., Phillips V.R., Holden M.R., Sneath R.W., Short J.L., White R.P., Hartung J., Seedorf J., Schroder M., Linkert K.H., Pedersen S., Takai H., Johnsen J.O. and Wathes C.M. (1998) Concentrations and Emissions of Ammonia in Livestock Buildings in Northern Europe. *Journal of Agricultural Engineering Research* **70**, 79-95.
- Gustafsson G. (1997) Investigations of Factors Affecting Air Pollutants in Animal Houses. *Annals of Agricultural and Environmental Medicine* **4**, 203-215.
- Gustafsson G. (1999) Factors affecting the Release and Concentration of Dust in Pig Houses. *Journal of Agricultural Engineering Research* **74**, 379-390.
- Gustin P., Urbain B., Prouvost J.F. and Ansay M. (1994) Effects of atmospheric ammonia on pulmonary hemodynamics and vascular permeability in pigs: interaction with endotoxins. *Toxicology and Applied Pharmacology* **125**, 17-26.
- Hartung J., Nielsen V.C., Voorburg J.H. and P L.H. (1986) Dust in livestock buildings as a carrier of odours. In 'Odour prevention and livestock farming'. Silsoe, UK pp. 321-332
- Hartung J. and Seedorf J. (1999) Characterization of Airborne Dust in Livestock Housing and its Effects on Animal and Environment. In 'Dust Control in Animal Production Facilities'. Scandinavian Congress Center, Aarhus. (Ed. Pedersen, S.) pp. 140-154. (Danish Institute of Agricultural Science)
- Hartung J., Seedorf J., Trickl T. and Gronauer H. (1997) Emission and Distribution of Airborne Particulates from a Piggery. In '9th International Congress in Animal Hygiene'. Helsinki, Finland pp. 184-187
- Hobbs P.J., Misselbrook T.H. and Cumby T.R. (1999) Production and Emission of Odours and Gases from Ageing Pig Waste. *Journal of Agricultural Engineering Research* **72**, 291-298.
- Hoff S.J., Bundy D.S. and Li X.W. (1997) Dust effects on odour and odour compounds. In 'Ammonia and Odour Emissions from Animal Production Facilities'. Vinkeloord, The Netherlands. (Eds Voermans, J.A.M. and Monteny, G.J.) pp. 101-109
- Hooda P.S., Edwards A.C., Anderson H.A. and Miller A. (2000) A review of water quality concerns in livestock farming areas. *The Science of The Total Environment* **250**, 143-167.
- Hörnig G., Türk M. and Wanka U. (1999) Slurry Covers to Reduce Ammonia Emission and Odour Nuisance. *Journal of Agricultural Engineering Research* **73**, 151-157.
- Jensen A.O. (2002) Changing the Environment in Swine Buildings Using Sulfuric Acid. *Transactions of the ASAE* **45**, 223-227.
- Jones J.B., Wathes C.M. and Webster A.J.F. (1998) Operant responses of pigs to atmospheric ammonia. *Applied Animal Behaviour Science* **58**, 35-47.
- Jones J.B., Webster A.J.F. and Wathes C.M. (1999) Trade-off Between Ammonia Exposure and Thermal Comfort in Pigs and the Influence of Social Contact. *Animal Science* **68**, 378-398.
- Kelley K.W., Brief S., Westly H.J., Novakofski J., Bechtel P.J. and J. S. (1987) Hormonal Regulation of the Age-Associated Decline in Immune Function. *Annals New York Academy of Sciences* **496**, 91-97.
- Klasing K.C. and Barnes D.M. (1988) Decreased amino acid requirements of growing chicks due to immunologic stress. *Journal of Nutrition* **118**, 1158-1164.
- Klasing K.C., Laurin D.E., Peng R.K. and Fry D.M. (1987) Immunologically Mediated growth depression in chicks; influence of feed intake, corticosterone and interleukin-1. *Journal of Nutrition* **117**, 1629-1637.
- Laitinen S., Kangas J., Husman K. and Susitaival P. (2001) Evaluation of Exposure to Airborne Bacterial Endotoxins and Peptidoglycans in Selected Work Environments. *Annals of Agricultural and Environmental Medicine* **8**, 213-219.
- Mackiewicz B. (1998) Study on Exposure of Pig Farm Workers to Bioaerosols Immunologic Reactivity and Health Effects. *Annals of Agricultural and Environmental Medicine* **5**, 169-175.
- Martin W.T., Zhang Y., Willson P., Archer T.P., Kinahan C. and Barber E.M. (1996) Bacterial and fungal flora of dust deposits in a pig building. *Occup Environ Med* **53**, 484-7.
- Ni J.Q., Vinckier C., Coenegrachts J. and Hendriks J. (1999a) Effect of manure on ammonia emission from a fattening pig house with partly slatted floor. *Livestock Production Science* **59**, 25-31.
- Ni J.-Q., Vinckier C., Hendriks J. and Coenegrachts J. (1999b) Production of carbon dioxide in a fattening pig house under field conditions. II. Release from the manure. *Atmospheric Environment* **33**, 3697-3703.
- Nicholson R.J., Webb J. and Moore A. (2002) A Review of the Environmental Effects of Different Livestock Manure Storage Systems, and a Suggested Procedure for Assigning Environmental Ratings. *Biosystems Engineering* **81**, 363-377.
- Ognink N.W.M. and Groot Koerkamp P.W.G. (2001) Comparison of odour emissions from animal housing systems with low ammonia emission. *Water Science and Technology* **44**, 245-252.
- Pedersen S. (1993) Time based variation in airborne dust in respect to animal activity. In 'Proceedings of Livestock Environment IV. International Symposium'. University of Warwick, UK. (Eds Collins, E. and Boon, C.) pp. 718-725
- Pedersen S., Nonnenmann M., Rautiainen R., Demmers T.G.M., Banhazi T. and Lyngbye M. (2001) Dust in Pig Buildings. *Journal of Agricultural Safety and Health* **6**, 261 - 274.
- Schwartz D.A., Donham K.J., Olenchock S.A., Popendorf W.J., Van Fossen D.S., Burmeister L.F. and Merchant J.A. (1995) Determinants of

- Longitudinal changes in Spirometric Function Among Swine Confinement Operators and Farmers. *American Journal of Respiratory and Critical Care Medicine* **151**, 47-53.
- Seedorf J., Hartung J., Schroder M., Linkert K.H., Phillips V.R., Holden M.R., Sneath R.W., Short J.L., White R.P., Pedersen S., Takai H., Johnsen J.O., Metz J.H.M., Groot Koerkamp P.W.G., Uenk G.H. and Wathes C.M. (1998) Concentrations and Emissions of Airborne Endotoxins and Microorganisms in Livestock Buildings in Northern Europe. *Journal of Agricultural Engineering Research* **70**, 97-109.
- Smith J.H., Wathes C.M. and Baldwin B.A. (1996) The preference of pigs for fresh air over ammoniated air. *Applied Animal Behaviour Science* **49**, 417-424.
- Sommer S.G. and Moller H.B. (2000) Emission of greenhouse gases during composting of deep litter from pig production – effect of straw content. *Journal of Agricultural Science* **134**, 327-335.
- Takai H., Nekomoto K., Dahl P.J., Okamoto E., Morita S. and Hoshihara S. (2002) Ammonia Contents and Desorption from Dusts Collected in Livestock Buildings. *Agricultural Engineering International: the CIGR Journal of Scientific Research and Development* **4**.
- Takai H., Pedersen S., Johnsen J.O., Metz J.H.M., Groot Koerkamp P.W.G., Uenk G.H., Phillips V.R., Holden M.R., Sneath R.W., Short J.L., White R.P., Hartung J., Seedorf J., Schroder M., Linkert K.H. and Wathes C.M. (1998) Concentrations and Emissions of Airborne Dust in Livestock Buildings in Northern Europe. *Journal of Agricultural Engineering Research* **70**, 59-77.
- Urbain B., Gustin P., Prouvost J.-F. and Ansay M. (1994) Quantitative assessment of aerial ammonia toxicity to the nasal mucosa by use of the nasal lavage method in pigs. *American Journal of Veterinary Research* **55**, 1335-1340.
- Urbain B., Prouvost J.F., Beerens D., Ansay M. and Gustin P. (1996) Acute Effects of Endotoxin Inhalation on the Respiratory Tract in Pigs: Interaction with Ammonia. *Inhalation Toxicology* **8**.
- van't Klooster C.E. and Heitleger B.P. (1994) Determination of Minimum Ventilation Rate in Pig Houses with Natural Ventilation based on Carbon Dioxide Balance. *Journal of Agricultural Engineering Research* **57**, 279-287.
- Wang X., Zhang Y., Zhao L.Y. and Riskowski G.L. (2000) Effect of Ventilation Rate on Dust Spatial Distribution in a Mechanically Ventilated Airspace. *Transactions of the ASAE* **43**, 1877-1884.
- Wathes C.M., Demmers T.G.M., Teer N., White R.P., Taylor L.L., Bland V., Jones P., Armstrong D., Gresham A., Chennells D.J. and Done S. (2002a) Production Responses of Weaner Pigs after Chronic Exposure to Airborne Dust and Ammonia - Paper Number 024045. In '2002 ASAE Annual International Meeting / CIGR XVth World Congress'. Chicago, Illinois. (ASAE)
- Wathes C.M., Jones J.B., Kristensen H.H., Jones E.K.M. and Webster A.J.F. (2002b) Aversion Of Pigs And Domestic Fowl To Atmospheric Ammonia. *Transactions of the ASAE* **45**, 1605-1610.
- Wathes C.M., Phillips V.R., Holden M.R., Sneath R.W., Short J.L., White R.P., Hartung J., Seedorf J., Schroder M., Linkert K.H., Pedersen S., Takai H., Johnsen J.O., Groot Koerkamp P.W.G., Uenk G.H., Metz J.H.M., Hinz T., Caspary V. and Linke S. (1998) Emission of Aerial Pollutants in Livestock Buildings in Northern Europe: Overview of a Multinational Project. *Journal of Agricultural Engineering Research* **70**, 3-9.