ISAH 2005 - Warsaw, Poland Vol 2

COMPARATIVE STUDIES OF THE ELECTROCARDIOGRAFIC PARAMETERS, MEAN ELECTRICAL AXIS (MEA) AND CARDIAC INDEX (RV/TV) IN NORMAL AND EXPERIMENTALLY ASITES (USING COLD) GROUPS OF BROILERS

H. Hassanpour ¹; M .Teshfam ²; M. Modirsanei³; L .Emadi ¹

¹Department of Physiology, Pharmacology, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Islamic Azad University of Garmsar, garmsar-semnan- Iran.² Department of Physiology, Pharmacology and Toxicology, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, University of Tehran. ³Department of Animal and Poultry Health and Nutrition. Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, University of Tehran, Tehran- Iran.

Keywords: Broiler chickens, ascites, Electrocardiogram, RV/TV ratio, mean electrical axis.

Introduction

Ascites syndrome is noticed as a problem in commercial poultry especially for fastgrowing broilers. This syndrome is characterized by elevated pulmonary arterial pressure, right ventricular dilation and hypertrophy. Oxygen requirement is the most critical trigger for ascites in these broilers. High cardiac output associated with a high oxygen requirement increases arterial pressure in lungs and causes pulmonary hypertension (1, 2).

Pulmonary hypertension results in right ventricular hypertrophy / failure and finally systemic circulatory congestion followed by liver congestion and edema which causes fluid to be exuded into lung, pericardium and abdominal cavity, which is recognized as ascites syndrome (3).

However, cardiac changes observed in ascitic birds can consider being responsible for the electrocardiographic characteristics that distinguish this syndrome even before developing of clinical signs (4, 5). Our goal in this study is to evaluate the electrocardiographic changes in the experimentally included ascites in broilers using cold model.

Materials and methods

A total of 300 one -days- old male cockerels from Ross breed were randomly divided into two equal groups (control and test). Chicks were reared for six weeks and provided ad libitum access to water and a standard corn-soybean ration. Temperature gradually was decreased in the test group to 30% of standard program from 2nd week of the rearing period to the end of six weeks (6). At the end of each week (from 3 th week) ,5 chicks from each groups were randomly selected and electrocardiographic recordings were performed by an automatic recorder (sensitivity 10mm=1mv, 50mm/s).The limb bipolar leads I, II , III and augmented unipolar leads aVR , aVL and aVF were recorded for every chicken . Then, the

amplitude of T, R, S waves and also Mean electrical axis (MEA) were measured. The heart of chickens was exposed via thoracotomy after euthanasia by cervical dislocation. After weighting total ventricles (TV) and right ventricle (RV), RV/TV ratio was determined as an index of the developing ascites in broilers (Pulmonary hypertension syndrome defined as having a RV/TV ratio greater than 0.29 during the experiments)(9).

Statistical analysis was done by student -t-test or bivriate correlation. Experimental data are presented as the mean \pm SEM.

Results

There were significant elevations of the S wave amplitudes in 4th week (II, III, aVR leads) and 6th week (III lead) (Table 1). S wave had a significant correlation with RV/TV in 4th week (II lead) and 5th week (II, aVF leads) in the test groups too (Table 3). There were also significant increases of the T wave amplitudes in 4th and 5th weeks (II, aVF leads) and 6th week (aVF, aVR leads) in the test groups (Table 2). In this study, R waves hadn't any significant variation. There were an elevation of MEA in test groups but just were significant in 4th week compared with control groups and exhibited a right-cranial direction of the MEA (Table 4).

Discussion

Electrocardiography is a non-invasive technique widely used in the study of cardiac physiopatholgy. Among recorded waves from birds, R, S, T waves are specific that are suitable for comparison. In this study T, S waves have shown significant variations after 4th week in the test groups in compared to controls, which are agreed with the report of Martinez *et al.* (1997). Evidence in this study suggests that dilation and hypertrophy of ventricles is the primarily cause of the increased amplitude of S wave (long ventricle depolarization) and its correlation with RV/TV ratio. This is supported by studies of Wideman and Kirby (1995, 1996). In our study, MEA increased in associated with RV/TV ratio during rearing and electrocardiographic waves were almostly types of the short R and long S. Therefore this increased MEA exhibited a right cranial direction in the test group. This is confirmed by studies of Odom *et al.* (1992).

Conclusion

Recorded electrocardiograms can be used effectively to evaluate the development of ascites syndrome in broiler chickens. The increase in the amplitude of S and T waves could be

ISAH 2005 - Warsaw, Poland Vol 2

considered as the sign of ventricular hypertrophy in ascites syndrome resulted from cold condition.

Acknowledgements

This work had been supported by the funds granted by the Applied Research Centre, Vice Chancellor for Research of University of Tehran.

Table 1: S wave
amplitudes in
different leads
between two
groups (control and
test).

Age	Lead	II	III	aVR	aVL	aVF
(days)	Group					
21	Control	0.1±0.02	0.09±0.01	0.1±0.02	0.06 ± 0.03	0.09 ± 0.03
	Test	0.14 ± 0.04	0.13±0.02	0.12 ± 0.03	0.05 ± 0.02	0.13 ± 0.03
28	Control	0.1±0.03	0.12±0.03	0.08 ± 0.01	0.07 ± 0.01	0.1±0.03
	Test	$0.4 \pm 0.07*$	0.31±0.07*	0.19±0.05*	0.1 ± 0.04	0.24 ± 0.05
35	Control	0.22 ± 0.03	0.17±0.03	0.15±0.03	$0.04{\pm}0.02$	0.18±0.03
	Test	0.31 ± 0.04	0.35 ± 0.06	0.22 ± 0.03	0.09 ± 0.03	0.35 ± 0.04
42	Control	0.13±0.02	0.09±0.02	0.09±0.02	0.08 ± 0.01	0.14±0.03
	Test	0.3 ± 0.08	$0.26 \pm 0.06*$	$0.19{\pm}0.03$	0.07 ± 0.01	0.28 ± 0.08
Total	Control	0.14 ± 0.02	0.12 ± 0.01	0.11 ± 0.01	0.06 ± 0.01	0.13±0.02
	Test	$0.28 \pm 0.03*$	0.26±0.03*	0.18±0.02*	0.08 ± 0.02	.025±0.03*
V						

alues are means \pm SEM; *P < 0.05significant difference vs. control

Table 2: T waveamplitudes indifferent leadsbetween twogroups (controland test).

Lead	II	III	aVR	aVL	aVF
Group					
Control	0.14 ± 0.04	0.09 ± 0.02	0.09 ± 0.03	0.06 ± 0.02	0.1±0.01
Test	0.17±0.05	0.15 ± 0.04	0.08 ± 0.01	0.07 ± 0.01	0.14±0.03
Control	0.14±0.01	0.13 ± 0.01	0.11 ± 0.01	0.21±0.15	0.12±0.01
Test	0.2 ± 0.04	$0.14{\pm}0.02$	0.15 ± 0.02	0.08 ± 0.02	0.2±0.03*
Control	0.14±0.01	$0.14{\pm}0.01$	0.11 ± 0.01	0.08 ± 0.02	0.13±0.01
Test	0.35±0.07*	0.27 ± 0.09	0.27 ± 0.06	0.09 ± 0.02	$0.34 \pm 0.02*$
Control	0.11±0.03	0.07 ± 0.02	0.07 ± 0.01	$0.04{\pm}0.01$	0.1 ± 0.00
Test	$0.3 \pm 0.05*$	0.26 ± 0.09	0.16±0.02*	0.08 ± 0.02	$0.29 \pm 0.05*$
Control	0.13 ± 0.01	0.11±0.01	0.1±0.01	0.1±0.04	0.11 ± 0.01
Test	0.25±0.03*	$0.2 \pm 0.03*$	0.16±0.02*	0.08 ± 0.01	0.2±0.03*
_	Lead Group Control Test Control Test Control Test Control Test	LeadIIGroup 0.14 ± 0.04 Test 0.17 ± 0.05 Control 0.14 ± 0.01 Test 0.2 ± 0.04 Control 0.14 ± 0.01 Test $0.35\pm0.07^*$ Control 0.11 ± 0.03 Test $0.3\pm0.05^*$ Control 0.13 ± 0.01 Test $0.25\pm0.03^*$	LeadIIIIIGroup0.14 \pm 0.040.09 \pm 0.02Test0.17 \pm 0.050.15 \pm 0.04Control0.14 \pm 0.010.13 \pm 0.01Test0.2 \pm 0.040.14 \pm 0.02Control0.14 \pm 0.010.14 \pm 0.01Test0.35 \pm 0.07*0.27 \pm 0.09Control0.11 \pm 0.030.07 \pm 0.02Test0.3 \pm 0.05*0.26 \pm 0.09Control0.13 \pm 0.010.11 \pm 0.01Test0.25 \pm 0.03*0.2 \pm 0.03*	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $

Values are means \pm SEM; *P < 0.05significant difference vs. control

Table 3: Bivariate correlation analysis of electrocardiographic waves to RV/TV ratio

	28days						35days					
		-Lead	II	III	aVR	aVL	AVF	II	III	aVR	Al	AVF
*D <	R	Control	0.19	-0.14	-0.28	-0.17	0.00	0.23	0.65	0.06	0.03	0.24
0.05		Test	-0.88	-0.47	-0.39	0.75	0.48	0.15	0.68	-0.15	0.8	0.46
sionifi	S	Control	0.14	0.18	0.11	0.33	0.28	0.66	0.85	0.2	0.75	0.73
cant		Test	0.86	0.22*	0.36	-0.4	0.02	0.74	0.94*	0.74	0.44	0.1*
correl	Т	Control	0.65	-0.47	0.4	-0.11	0.02	0.26	0.94	0.45	0.03	0.4
ation		Test	0.6	0.18	0.27	0.56	0.72	0.29	0.66	0.31	-0.28	0.29

ISAH 2005 - Warsaw, Poland Vol 2

Table 4: Mean electrical axis (MEA) and RV/TV ratio between two groups (control and test).

Age(days)	Group	MEA	RV/TV
21	Control	169±48.2	0.2±0.00
	Test	302±13.3	$0.24{\pm}0.01$
28	Control	112.2±0.02	0.21±0.00
	Test	241.8±33.6*	0.39±0.04*
35	Control	188±50.5	0.26±0.01
	Test	247.4±0.24	0.34±0.02*
42	Control	182.3±38.2	0.22±0.01
	Test	249.5±32.1	0.35±0.03*
Total	Control	161.4±21	0.22±0.01
	Test	258.4±13.8*	0.34±0.02*

Values are means \pm SEM; **P* < 0.05 significant difference vs. control

References:

1- Janice M.Balog ; (2003): Ascites Syndrome (Pulmonary hypertension Syndrome) in Broiler Chickens: Are We Seeing the Light at the End of the Tunnel? Avian and Poultry Biology Reviews 14(3), 99-12

2-Julian, R, J. (1993): Ascites in poultry .Avian Path., 22: 419-54

3- Julian, R, J and Wilson, J, B. (1986): Right ventricular failure as a cause of ascites in broiler and roaster chickens. Proceeding IV International Symposium Veterinary Laboratory Diagnosticians, (Amsterdam). June G.H.A. Borst, ed.PP:608-611

4-Martinez, L.A., Jeffrey, J.S. and Odom, T.W. (1997): Electrocardiographic diagnosis of cardiomyopathies in aves. Poultry Avian Biol.Rev.8:9-20

5- Odom, T.W., Rosenbaum, L.M. and Hargis, B.M. (1992): Evaluation of vectorelectrocardiographic analysis of young broiler chickens as a predictive index for susceptibility to ascites syndrome. Avian Dis.36:78-83

6-Vanhooser, S,L; Beker, A. and Teeter , r.g. (1995): Bronchodilator, Oxygen level , and Temperature effects on ascites incidence in Broiler chicken. Poultry Sci. 75, 1586-1590

7- Wideman, R.F.JR.and Kirby, Y.K (1995): A pulmonary artery clamp model for inducing pulmonary hypertension syndrome. (ascites) in broilers. Poultry Sci.74.805.

8-Wideman, R.F.JR.and Kirby, Y.K. (1996): electrocardiographic evaluation of broilers during the onset of pulmonary hypertension initiated by unilateral pulmonary artery occlusion. Poultry Sci. 75:407-16

9. Wideman, R.F. (2001): Pathophysiology of heart/lung disorders: pulmonary hypertension syndrome in broiler chickens. World's Poultry Science, 57,289-305.